

## Proof of Random Walk Theorem

Phillip Compeau

The Random Walk Theorem states that the average distance that a randomly walking particle will find itself from its starting point after taking  $n$  steps of unit length is  $\sqrt{n}$ . Below, we provide a justification for why this is true for interested readers who are familiar with probability.

Let  $\mathbf{x}_i$  denote the (random) vector corresponding to the particle's  $i$ -th step. The particle's position  $\mathbf{x}$  after  $n$  steps is the sum of the  $\mathbf{x}_i$ ,

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_n.$$

The distance  $d$  traveled by the particle is the distance from  $\mathbf{x}$  to the origin, which is the square root of the inner product  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ . We will show that the expected value of  $d^2$  is equal to  $n$ . First, note that

$$d^2 = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_n \rangle.$$

We can apply the linearity of the inner product to expand and obtain

$$d^2 = \langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_n \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_n \rangle + \cdots + \langle \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_n \rangle.$$

If we apply the linearity of the inner product again, then we will expand these  $n$  inner products into  $n^2$  inner products of the form  $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle$ ,

$$d^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle.$$

We will now apply a fundamental result in probability called the "linearity of expectation", which states that for any two random variables  $x$  and  $y$ , the expectation of their sum  $\mathbb{E}(x + y)$  is equal to the sum of the corresponding expectations  $\mathbb{E}(x) + \mathbb{E}(y)$ . When we take the expected value of both sides of the equation above and apply the linearity of expectation, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(d^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}(\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle).$$

For any  $i$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle$  is just the length of the vector  $\mathbf{x}_i$ , which is equal to 1. On the other hand, the expected value of the inner product of two random unit vectors is equal to 0, so when  $i \neq j$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle)$  is equal to 0. Therefore, the right side of the above equation consists of  $n$  terms that are equal to 1 and  $n^2 - n$  terms that are equal to 0, and so  $\mathbb{E}(d^2) = n$ , which is what we set out to prove.

We make a couple of notes about the above proof. First, we did not use anything about the random walk being two-dimensional in this proof; therefore, it holds whether our particle is walking in two, three, or any number of dimensions.

Second, we technically did not show that the expected value of  $d$  is  $\sqrt{n}$ , but rather that the expected value of  $d^2$  is  $n$ . It is not true that  $\mathbb{E}(d)$  is equal to  $\sqrt{n}$ , but rather that as  $n$  grows,  $\mathbb{E}(d)$  grows like  $c \cdot \sqrt{n}$  for some constant factor  $c$ . A proof of this fact is beyond the scope of this work, but it can be shown that as  $n$  tends toward infinity,  $\mathbb{E}(d)$  tends toward  $\sqrt{(2/\pi)} \cdot \sqrt{n}$ .

Who knew that the mathematics of random walks could be so complicated!